



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,674	11/04/2003	Atsushi Ayabe	244846US2	6480
22850	22850 7590 04/20/2006		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			TO, TUAN C	
	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3663	
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/699,674	AYABE ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tuan C. To	3663			
Pe	 The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply 	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
St	atus					
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 Jail</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This allowed This application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 1.	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro	•			
Di	sposition of Claims		•			
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-15 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 November 2003</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Pri	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/31/06. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-6 in the reply filed on 08/17/2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the examiner fails to provide evidence that the combination (claims 1-6) do not require particulars of the subcombination claims for patentability. The applicant further states in his response Group II should include not only claim 7 but also claims 8 and 9.

The following is reason provided to clarify the restriction between the combination claims and subcombination claims:

In the instant case, the details of the control apparatus are not required for patentability of the combination. The subcombination has separate utility such as controlling the amount of slip of a lockup clutch for the purpose of improving fuel economy of a vehicle.

Claims 8-15 are the process/apparatus claim. Therefore, they can not be separate from each other.

With regard to the species election, the examiner withdraws said election.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

An action on claims 1-6 follows:

Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of claims 1, 2, 4-6 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Adachi (US 6039675A). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,674 Page 3

Art Unit: 3663

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Adachi (US 6039675A)

Regarding claims 1, 2, and 4-6, Adachi. teaches an automatic transmission for a vehicle comprising: an automatic transmission including a torque converter provided with a lockup clutch (Adachi, abstract), a controller (12) provided to determine target slip rotation speed (Adachi, column 4, lines 35-40).

The statements of intended use or field of use, "that controls...", "adapted to" clauses are essentially method limitations or statements or intended or desired use.

Thus, these claims as well as other statements of intended use do not serve to patentably distinguish the claimed structure over that of the reference. See <u>In re Pearson</u>, 181 USPQ 641; <u>In re Yanush</u>, 177 USPQ 705; In re Finsterwalder, 168 USPQ 530; <u>In re Casey</u>, 512 USPQ 235; <u>In re Otto</u>, 136 USPQ 458; <u>Ex parte Masham</u>, 2 USPQ 2nd 1647.

See MPEP § 2114 which states:

Application/Control Number: 10/699,674

Art Unit: 3663

A claim containing a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from the prior art apparatus" if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structural limitations of the claim. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ 2nd 1647

Claims directed to apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than functions. In re Danly, 120 USPQ 528, 531.

Apparatus claims cover what a device is not what a device does. <u>Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc.</u>, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528.

As set forth in MPEP § 2115, a recitation in a claim to the material or article worked upon does not serve to limit an apparatus claim.

Regarding claim 3, Adachi also inherently teaches that the controller calculate the slip rotations speed of the lockup clutch, and set the calculated slip rotation speed as the target slip rotation speed if a downshift of the automatic transmission is executed since the controller (12) determines drive duty ratio and then determines the target slip rotations speed (see Adachi, column 4, lines 35-45).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 3 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusions

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan C To whose telephone number is (571) 272-6985. The examiner can normally be reached on from 8:00AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3663

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner

Tuan C To

April 16, 2006